

PII: S0040-4039(97)01119-2

Bridgehead Olefins from Triplex Intramolecular Diels-Alder Reaction of Phenyl-Substituted Dienophiles with Cyclopentadienes

Hyojung Yoon and Wooki Chae*

Department of Chemistry Education, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742 Korea

Abstract : Sensitized irradiation of alkenylcyclopentadiene (1b, 1c) with 9,10-dicyanoanthracene (DCA) produced anti-Bredt [2+4] tricyclic adducts (3b, 3c) which were not obtained in thermal condition. These bridgehead olefins were considered as a further support for the existence of a triplex intermediate in DCA-sensitized intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd.

Alkenylcyclopentadienes have been used extensively for the formation of Diels-Alder adducts as precursor to natural products.¹ However, intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction involving cyclopentadiene with chain attached C-2 has not been reported up to 1989, even though the corresponding reaction of acyclic diene is known.² In 1989, Grubbs³ et al. reported that intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction of α,β -unsaturated ester attached to cyclopentadiene produced a bridgehead olefin as a minor product (6%). Fallis⁴ work on the total synthesis of longifolene via an intramolecular Diels-Alder strategy, the bridgehead olefins were not obtained because of constrained nature of the dienophile. To our knowledge this type of skeletal structure has not been reported since Grubbs' report.

Since Schuster⁵ and other workers⁶ introduced a triplex intermediate into the discussion of sensitized cycloaddition, the triplex intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction of alkenylcyclopentadienes(1) has been studied ⁷ to compare the photo-adduct with the thermal-adduct and to probe the dependence on tether length between diene and dienophile. In our previous work⁷ on the triplex effect on the reaction of **1a** and **1b**, the product distributions were same as those of thermal reaction and adducts which have a bridgehead double bond were not found.



Sensitized irradiation of 1c with 9,10-dicyanoanthracene (DCA), however, produced anti-Bredt adduct (3c) and this result lead us to reinvestigate the reaction of 1a and 1b. Herein we wish to discuss the mechanism for the formation of the bridgehead olefins which further support the triplex formation from 1. Irradiation⁸ of 1c in a DCA-saturated benzene solution at 350 nm produced [2+4] adduct 2c (7.5%) and anti-Bredt adduct 3c (13%) (Scheme 1).





Irradiation of 1b in the same reaction condition as 1c produced 2b (8%) and bridgehead olefin 3b (12%) which was not found in our previous work.⁷ Irradiation of 1a produced 4a (6%) only. The structure of 2 and 3 were analyzed by ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy.⁹ Application of ¹³C-DEPT, H,H-COSY and HETCOR spectral techniques permit the assignment of the protons and carbon resonances for 2 and 3. Figure 1 and 2 shows the proton assignments of 2c and 3b respectively.



Figure 1. Proton assignments⁹ of 2c

Figure 2. Proton assignments⁹ of 3b

Compound 2c was formed by endo addition due to π - π interaction between diene and phenyl group. This endo approach was confirmed by NOESY spectrum of 2c (Figure 3). In NOESY spectrum of 2c, H₇ couples to H₅ and H₆ but not to phenyl hydrogens. H₇' couples to H₂ but not to H₅. Phenyl hydrogens couples to H₃ and H₁₁' (Figure 3). H₁₁'(axial) in 2c is extremely shielded (0.847 ppm) presumably due to ring-current effect by phenyl group in proximity. H₉(axial) in 3b is also shielded (0.63 - 0.68 ppm)⁹ for the same reason.



Figure 3. NOESY spectrum of 2c

Figure 4. INADEQUATE spectrum of 3b

Bridgehead olefin 5b is mechanistically possible, however, this olefin was not obtained in both thermal and photochemical reactions probably due to the restricted geometry imposed by the short tether length. Consideration of COSY and INADEQUATE spectrum of 3b permits discrimination of 3b and 5b (Figure 4). In INADEQUATE spectrum of **3b**, C-10 is connected to C-6 but not to C-5. Through the structural identification of the products, it was found that there were no *cis-trans* isomerizations of dienophiles during the irradiation.

It is well established^{2,4} that the 1-, 2- and 5-alkenylcyclopentadienes are interrelated by reversible 1,5hydrogen shift at room temperature. In thermal Diels-Alder reaction of 1, single adducts derived from either the 1- or 5-substituted isomer were obtained (Scheme 2).



In thermal reaction of 1-C with short tether length (n=1, 2, 3), the transition states for the adduct 3 are less favorable than those for the adduct 2 from 1-B. In DCA-sensitized reaction, however, this unfavorable situation for the product 3 (n=2, 3) could be overcome by triplex formation (Scheme 3).

DCA fluorescence was quenched by cyclopentadiene and *trans-β*-methylstyrene, which correspond to the diene and the dienophile respectively in the triene 1. Figure 5 shows the fluorescence of DCA in benzene solutions containing increasing concentrations of *trans-β*-methylstyrene. Quenching rate obtained by Stern-Volmer technique is $7.7 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$. The broad, structureless emission with a maximum at 500 nm was assigned to the DCA-*trans-β*-methylstyrene exciplex. This emission at 500 nm was successively quenched by increasing concentrations of cyclopentadiene (Figure 5, insert). Quenching of the exciplex emission by cyclopentadiene might involve a triplex formation. However, no exciplex emission from DCA and the triene 1 was detected under any condition though DCA fluorescence was quenched by triene 1 with a rate of $6 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$. These results imply that exciplexes are formed in the case of these trienes but that the high concentration of dienes quenches the exciplex emission forming the triplexes.^{5f} Since the triplex Diels-Alder reaction occurs only in nonpolar solvents where dissociation of the intermediary exciplex to radical ions is energetically unfavorable,^{5f} cation radical mechanism for the formation of [2+4] adducts was excluded

without further study of the solvent effects.

In conclusion, DCA-sensitized reaction of the triene 1, the triplex formation was proposed based upon that the emission of exciplex (dienophile-----DCA) was quenched by cyclopentadiene, and the formation of anti-Bredt adduct was thought to be a further support for the triplex formation.

Acknowledgment. This work was financially supported by Korea Science Engineering Foundation (93-0500-09-01-3) and the Basic Science Research Institute Program (96), Ministry of Education in Korea.

References and Notes

- (a) Fallis, A. G. Can. J. Chem. 1984, 62, 183-234. (b) Breitholle, E. G.; Fallis, A. G. Can. J. Chem. 1976, 54, 1991-1993.
 (c) Breitholle, E. G.; Falls, A. G. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1964-1968. (d) Landry, D. W. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 2761-2768.
- 2. Ciganek, E. Org. Reactions 1984, 32, 65-66 and references therein.
- 3. Stille, J. R.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 434-444.
- 4. Lei, B; Fallis, A. G. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2186-2195
- (a) Calhoun, G. C.; Schuster, G. B. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6870-6871. (b) Calhoun, G. C.; Schuster, G. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 911-914. (c) Hartsough, D.; Schuster, G. B.; J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3-4. (d) Akubulut, N.; Hartsough, D.; Kim, J. I.; Schuster, G. B. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2549-2556. (e) Kim, J. I.; Schuster, G. B. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 9635-9637. (f) Wölfle, I.; Chan, S.; Schuster, G. B. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 7313-7319. (g) Kim, J. I.; Schuster, G. B. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 9310-9317.
- 6. (a) Masaki, Y.; Uehara, Y.; Yanagida, S.; Pac, C. Chem. Lett. 1992, 315-318. (b) Yang, L.; Zhang, M. X.; Liu, Y. C.; Liu, Z. L.; Chow, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. 1995, 1055-1056. (c) Valat, P.; Wintgens, V.; Chow, Y. L.; Kossanyi, J. Can. J. Chem. 1995, 73, 1902-1913. (d) Gotoh, T.; Padias, A. B.; Hall, H. K. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1308-1312. (e) Caldwell, R. A.; Creed, D.; Ohta, H. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 3246-3247.
- 7. Lee, S. H.; Yoon, H. J.; Chae, W. K. J. Photochemistry and Photobiology (A) 1996, 93, 33-37.
- A solution of 1~2g of 1 in 200 mL of DCA-saturated benzene was transferred into ten Pyrex tubes and degassed with purified nitrogen. The samples were irradiated with 16-RPR-350 nm lamps for 10~20 hours.
- 9. 1b, 2b and 4a: spectral data and elemental analysis are in reference 7. 2b is an exo adduct confirmed by NOESY spectrum.
 1c: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) 1.26 ~ 1.62 (4H, m), 2.38 ~ 2.46 (4H, m), 2.94 (2H, dd. J=20 Hz, 1,3 Hz), 5.7 (1H, m), 6.17~6.47 (4H, m), 7.25 ~ 7.58 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) 28.417, 29.055, 29.332, 29.637, 30.294, 41.195, 43.183, 125.844, 126.420, 128.076, 128.786, 130.506, 132.047, 132.935, 134.702, 137.723, 147.047, 149.840 (1e exists in equilibrium with 2-substituted cyclopentadiene at room temperature); IR (CHCl₃) 2920, 1600, 1490, 1360 cm-1; HRMS (EI+) Calcd. for C₁₇H₂₀ : 224.1566 found 224.1563.

2c : ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) 0.847 (1H, qd, J = 13 Hz, 3 Hz, H₁₁'), $1.275 \sim 1.300$ (1H, m, H₁₁), $1.281 \sim 1.301$ (1H, m, H₁₀), $1.574 \sim 1.593$ (1H, m, H₁₀), 1.458 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H₇), $1.573 \sim 1.593$ (1H, m, H₇'), $1.560 \sim 1.593$ (1H, m, H₉), $1.796 \sim 1.810$ (1H, m, H₉'), $1.573 \sim 1.593$ (1H, m, H₈), $2.075 \sim 2.123$ (1H, m, H₈'), $3.077 \sim 3.099$ (1H, m, H₄), $2.124 \sim 2.150$ (1H, m, H₆), 3.634 (1H, dd, J = 10 Hz, 3 Hz, H₃), 6.407 (1H, dd, J = 6 Hz, 3 Hz, H₃), 6.317 (1H, d, J = 5.9 Hz, H₂), $7.183 \sim 7.263$ (5H, m) : ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) 23.757 (CH₂), 27.322 (CH₂), 28.390 (CH₂), 31.249 (CH₂), 49.268 (CH), 50.383 (CH), 50.663 (CH), 54.914 (C), 56.002 (CH₂), 136.408 (CH), 137.772 (CH), 125.581 (CH), 127.460 (CH), 129.674 (CH), 142.740 (C) : HRMS (EI+) Calcd. for C₁₇H₂₀ : 224.1566 found 224.1554.

3b: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) $0.63 \sim 0.68$ (1H, m, H₉, axial), $1.18 \sim 1.25$ (1H, m, H₁₀), $1.35 \sim 1.40$ (1H, m H₁₀), $1.32 \sim 1.33$ (2H, m, 2H₇), $1.45 \sim 1.52$ (1H, m, H₉', equatorial), $2.11 \sim 2.17$ (1H, m, H₈), $2.23 \sim 2.25$ (1H, m, H₉), $2.82 \sim 2.84$ (1H, s, H₁), $2.91 \sim 2.92$ (1H, m, H₆), 3.30 (1H, broad s, H₄), 3.50 (1H, d, J = 8.79 Hz, H₅), 5.94 (1H, s, H₃), $7.05 \sim 7.38$ (5H, m) : ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) 24.746 (CH₂), 29.371 (CH₂), 29.740 (CH₂), 42.085 (CH), 42.724 (CH₂), 47.345 (CH), 50.095 (CH), 53.110 (CH), 127.759 (CH), 142.734 (C), 125.125 (CH), 127.640 (CH), 128.825 (CH), 148.898 (C) : HRMS (EI+) Calcd. for C₁₆H₁₄ : 210.1409 found 210.1394.